	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/017,314	STARITA, JOSEPH M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thao T. Tran	1711
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Thao T. Tran.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Edwin Baranowski.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>2,9,10,16,19,21,22 and 27-31</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached.	h) <u></u> N/A.
reached, or any other comments: The examiner called Applicant's representative to suggest the cancellation of claims 2, 9-10, 16, 19, 21-22, 27-8, 30-31; and amend claim 29 in order to put the application in conditions for allowance.  Please see attached Examiner's Amendments.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE		
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examin	er's signature, if required